APR 1 5 2016 1 DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN, ESQ. (CSBN 159417) Law Offices of Douglas E. Geyman 2 750 B Street, Suite 2870 San Diego, CA 92101-8183 Telephone: (619) 232-3533 3 Facsimile: (619) 232-3593 16 APR 15 AMII: 02 4 CLEEK-SUPERICO COUPT SAM DIESO COUNTY, CA 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 8 9 MATTHEW OKOLSKI, an individual, Case No. 37-2016-00012435-CU-OE-CTL 10 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 11 1. Unpaid Wages and Overtime vs. 12 SHIMA CORONADO, LLC and DOES 1 to 10, 2. Violation of FLSA 13 Defendants. 3. Inaccurate Wage Statements. 14 4. Meal and Rest Period Violations. 15 5. Failure to Pay Wages Upon Termination. 16 6. Unfair Competition/Accounting. 17 7. Wrongful Termination in Violation 18 of Public Policy 19 8. Violation of Labor Code 1102.5 20 Unlimited Jurisdiction Jury Trial Demanded 21 COMES NOW Plaintiff MATTHEW OKOLSKI ("Plaintiff") and for his causes of action 22 against Defendant SHIMA CORONADO, LLC (Defendant), and DOES 1 to 10 (collectively 23 "Defendants"), alleges as follows: 24 25

I.

#### SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

- 1. This action is brought pursuant to the California Labor Code Sections 201-203, 226(a), 226.7, 510 as well as state tort law.
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the causes of action alleged in this complaint.

II.

### PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- At all relevant times Plaintiff is and was an individual residing in San Diego,
   California.
  - 4. Plaintiff performed employment services for Defendant in San Diego County.
- 5. At all relevant times Defendant is and was a private entity organized under the laws of the State of California, and doing business in the County of San Diego.
- 6. The true names and capacities of the Defendants named herein as DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, whether individual, proprietorship, partnership, corporate, associate, alter ego, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 474. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show such true names and capacities when they have been determined.
- 7. The violations of law described in this complaint have been committed within the County of San Diego, State of California.
- 8. Pursuant to section 393 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the County of San Diego is a proper and legal venue for this case.
- 9. The wrongful conduct of Defendants set forth in the General Allegations and various Causes of Action proximately caused Plaintiff to suffer damages, injuries, loss and/or harm, including but not limited to physical, mental and emotional injuries and distress, pain and suffering,

lost wages and benefits and health care expenses, and other general, special and statutory damages in amounts to be proven.

#### III.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Plaintiff was first hired by Defendant on or about September 21, 2015 as a General Manager for the Defendant.
- 11. Plaintiff performed all of his duties to the expected standard and was in fact recognized for superior performance on several occasions.
- 12. Defendant often times would require Plaintiff, and other employees, to work "off-the-clock" by instructing Plaintiff and others to clock out using the timekeeping method but forcing them to continue to work for the Defendant. On many occasions, Plaintiff as well as other employees would be required to punch out for their meal breaks, but continue to perform services for the Defendant. Plaintiff, and other similarly situated employees, would often be forced to work more than 8 hours in a day or more than 40 hours in a week, yet did not receive overtime pay as required by California Labor Code section 510.
- 13. Defendant structured Plaintiff's work and the work of other similarly situated employees in such a way that he was not permitted to take meal or rest breaks.
- 14. Defendant also paid Plaintiff, and other employees with checks which did not include accurate information regarding the amount of hours worked, the rate of overtime pay, and other information required by California Labor Code Section 226(a) as well as other California laws. Defendant also issued back checks with insufficient funds.
- 15. Plaintiff separated from Defendant on or about February 5, 2015. Thereafter he was not paid all monies due him in accordance with California Labor Code sections 201-203.
- 16. While working for the Defendant, Plaintiff complained numerous times to management, including Dee Bromley and Mike Stradther, about both the wage and hour violations

Since Plaintiff began his employment, Defendant required Plaintiff to work, and he

25

22.

COMPLAINT

VII.
FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
MEAL AND REST PERIOD VIOLATIONS
(Private Attorney General Act Labor Code section 2689 et seq)
By Plaintiff against Defendant
37. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates in this cause of action all numbered paragraphs
above that precede the title block for the 'First Cause of Action."
38. Defendant required Plaintiff to work shifts of more than five hours under conditions
which did not permit him and other similarly situated employees to take 30 minute, off-duty meal
breaks, in violation of Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512, and applicable Wage Orders.
39. Defendant scheduled Plaintiff's work, and the work of other similarly situated
employees in a manner that prevented them from taking 10 minute, off-duty rest periods during
shifts of 4 hours, in violation of Labor Code section 226.7 and applicable Wage Orders.
40. As a result of Defendant's violations of the Labor Code and Wage Orders as alleged
herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover premium wages in an amount to be shown at trial,
prejudgment interest, his costs, attorney's fees, and penalties on behalf of all similarly situated
employees.
VIII.
FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
FAILURE TO PAY WAGES UPON TERMINATION
By Plaintiff against Defendant
41. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates in this cause of action all numbered paragraphs
above that precede the title block for the 'First Cause of Action."
42. Defendant willfully failed to pay Plaintiff all wages due at the time of his
termination, or at any time thereafter, in violation of Labor Code section 201 through 203.

43. As a proximate result of Defendant's willful failure to pay all wages due to Plaintiff		
at the time of his termination, he is entitled to recover waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor		
Code section 203 in an amount to be proved at trial.		
IX.		
SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
UNFAIR COMPETITION/ACCOUNTING		
By Plaintiff against Defendant		
44. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates in this cause of action all numbered paragraphs		
above that precede the title block for the 'First Cause of Action."		
45. The Labor Code, Wage Order and FLSA violations described herein constitute unfair		
and unlawful business practices under Business and Professions Code sections 17200, et seq.		
Defendant gained an unfair business advantage by refusing to pay its employees overtime wages as		
required by law; illegally requiring its employees to waive their right to recover earned wages; not		
providing its employees time to take statutorily mandated meal and rest breaks; understating the		
amount of Plaintiff's gross wages, under-funding Plaintiff's Social Security, Medicare,		
Unemployment Insurance and Disability Insurance funds and not paying him all wages due at		
termination.		
46. In addition to statutory relief Plaintiff seeks in the other causes of action alleged		
herein, he seeks an accounting and restitution of all monetary losses for the injuries he sustained by		
reason of Defendant's unfair business practices.		
X.		
SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY		
By Plaintiff against Defendant		
47. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates in this cause of action all numbered paragraphs		

above that precede the title block for the 'First Cause of Action."

- 48. Defendant wrongfully discharged Plaintiff in violation of important and well-established public policies, set forth in various statutes and Constitutional provisions including but not limited to California Labor Code Sections 204, 510, 218 and 1194.
- 49. Defendant's wrongful conduct proximately caused Plaintiff to suffer general, special and statutory damages in an amount to be proven. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief including reinstatement, lost wages and benefits, and promotion without retaliation.
- 50. Defendant's wrongful conduct was malicious, oppressive, fraudulent, despicable and not to be tolerated by civilized society and was known, authorized, ratified and/or perpetrated by its managing agents, officers or directors, entitling Plaintiff to an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven.

XI.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE SECTION 1102.5

### By Plaintiff against Defendant

- 51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates in this cause of action all numbered paragraphs above that precede the title block for the 'First Cause of Action.'
- 52. Defendant's wrongful conduct proximately caused Plaintiff to suffer general, special and statutory damages in an amount to be proven. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief including reinstatement, lost wages and benefits, and promotion without retaliation. Defendant's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendant as follows:

1. For special compensatory damages, including lost wages estimated to be no less than

1	\$100,000;		
2	2.	For general compensatory damages, estimated to be no less than \$100,000;	
3	3.	For all applicable statutory remedies provided by the Labor Code and Wage Order,	
4	including but not limited to recovery of all unpaid wages, premium wages and penalties;		
5	4.	For liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, and all other relief provided by the	
6	FLSA;		
7	5.	For an award of prejudgment interest;	
8	6.	For restitution pursuant to section 17200 et seq.;	
9	7.	For an award of attorney's fees;	
10	8.	For costs of suit;	
11	9.	For recovery of penalties pursuant to Labor Code section 2689 et seq.,	
12	10.	For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
13	Dated: <u>4-</u>	LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS GEYMAN	
14	:	By: Douglas Geyman	
15		Attorney for Plaintiff	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
- 1		-10-	

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs demands a trial by jury of all issues in this action triable by a jury, including but not limited to issues of liability and damages.

LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS GEYMAN

By:
Douglas Geyman
Attorney for Plaintiff

DATED: 4-11-14

1 1